

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN JOSE DIVISION
11

12 METTEYYA BRAHMANA,
13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 PHILLIP CHARLES LEMBO,
16 CYBERDATA CORP., NUMONIX INC.,
17 CONQUEST TECHNOLOGY LTD.,
CAMERON BARFIELD,

18 Defendants.

Case No.: C 09-00106 PSG

**ORDER DENYING
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
FILE UNDER SEAL**

(Re: Docket No. 265)

19 On June 8, 2010, Plaintiff Metteyya Brahmana (“Brahmana”) filed an administrative
20 motion to file under seal Exhibit A, B, and C video depositions as exhibits to Brahmana’s
21 opposition to a then-pending motion for summary judgment. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 79-5,
22 Defendants Phillip Charles Lembo, CyberData Corp., Numonix Inc., Conquest Technology
23 Limited, and Cameron Barfield (“Defendants”) had to file with the court and serve a declaration
24 establishing that Exhibits A, B, and C are sealable, or to withdraw the designation of
25 confidentiality. Defendants did not file or serve any such declaration.¹ Accordingly, the court
26

27 ¹ The court notes that on May 21, 2010, Defendants filed a statement of non-opposition to
28 unsealing deposition videos pertaining to a separate deposition and separate administrative motion to
seal, and therein objected generally to the introduction of videotaped testimony without the use of a
written transcript. *See* Docket No. 245.

1 hereby DENIES the administrative motion to file under seal Exhibits A, B, and C to Brahmana's
2 opposition to Defendant's motion for summary judgment.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 Dated: 9/22/2011

5 

6 PAUL S. GREWAL
7 United States Magistrate Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28